A THEORY OF TRAFFIC FLOW FOR EVALUATION OF THE GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF HIGHWAYS

APRIL 1961
NO. 8

by
M.E. HARR
G.A. LEONARDS

Joint Highway Research Project
PURDUE UNIVERSITY
LAFAYETTE INDIANA

Technical Paper

A THEORY OF TRAFFIC FLOW FOR EVALUATION
OF THE GEOMETRIC ASPECTS OF HIGHWAYS

TO: K. B. Woods, Director
Joint Highway Research Project

April 6, 1961

FROM: H. L. Michael, Assistant Director
Joint Highway Research Project

File: 3-1

Attached is a technical paper titled, "A Theory of Traffic Flow for Evaluation of the Geometric Aspects of Highways." The paper has been authored by M. E. Hare and G. A. Leonards, Associate Professor and Professor, respectively, on the staff of the School of Civil Engineering.

The paper was presented at the recent annual meeting of the Highway Research Board and is presented to the Board as information. Interest in the subject of the paper is very high at the present time and the paper was well received.

Respectively submitted,

Harold L. Michael
Secretary

HLM:kmc

Attachment

cc: F. L. Ashbaucher
J. R. Cooper
W. L. Dolch
W. H. Goetz
F. F. Havey
F. S. Hill
G. A. Leonards
G. T. Hawkins (M. B. Scott)
J. F. McLaughlin
R. D. Miles
R. L. Mills
G. F. Voglgesang
J. L. Waling
E. J. Yoder

INTRODUCTION

In recent years several theories have been proposed [1,2,3] wherein the car-following characteristics of a traffic stream have been developed from considerations of continuous fluid flow. The purpose of these analyses was to obtain a mathematical description of highway capacity as reflected by flow-density relationships. Of interest in this paper is the development of an analytical approach whereby the effects of various aspects of a highway (such as curves, grades, lane width, traffic control devices, type and condition of surfacing, etc.) can be evaluated quantitatively under varying traffic density and weather conditions and hence provide rational basis for geometric design procedures.

Unlike previous work, where the movement of the traffic stream is assumed a priori, in the present analysis it is postulated that traffic reacts to a motivating "pressure potential"; that is, the vehicle operator reacts to the prevailing road, traffic, and weather conditions - characterized herein by the pressure potential - in a pattern that determines the behavior of any particular vehicle traversing a given section of roadway. This concept can be expressed quantitatively in a manner analogous to that used in describing one-dimensional viscous flow of a compressible fluid. Solution of the resulting differential equation yields a parameter which is a numerical measure of the salient characteristics of a road. Procedures are then proposed to determine this parameter, using measurable vehicular velocities, which eliminate the need for evaluating the motivating pressure potential.

Application of the new theory in the development of rational

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from
LYRASIS members and Sloan Foundation; Indiana Department of Transportation

http://www.archive.org/details/theoryoftrafficf00harr

procedures for the geometric design of highways is illustrated by suitable examples.

NOTATION

The following notation is used throughout the paper:

p, motivating pressure potential

N, number of vehicles

v, velocity of vehicle

w, effective width of roadway

x, L, respectively, a position along and a particular length of roadway

ρ, vehicular density, number of vehicles per unit of effective area

t, time

C_1, C_2, k, coefficients of proportionality

$a^2 = (C_1 + C_2)/k$ = constant

FORMULATION OF PROBLEM

The theoretical development is based on the following assumptions:

(a) the vehicular velocity is proportional to the gradient of the pressure potential,

$$v = -k \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad \text{.....(1)}$$

(b) the change in vehicular density with respect to the pressure potential is proportional to the density,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} = C_1 \rho \qquad \text{.....(2)}$$

from Eq. 2,

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = C_1 \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \qquad \text{.....(2a)}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} = C_1 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad \text{.....(2b)}$$

(c) the change in effective width of the roadway with respect to the pressure potential is proportional to the effective width,

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial p} = C_2 w \qquad \text{.....(3)}$$

From Eq. (3)

$$\frac{1}{w}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = C_2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} \qquad \text{.....(3a)}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{w}\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = C_2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \qquad \text{.....(3b)}$$

In the ensuing theoretical development it will be assumed that neither access nor egress is available to the section of highway under study. Thus the traffic flow can be considered as a conserved system. It should be noted, however, that the theory can be extended to account for the effects of access and (or) egress provided the number of vehicles entering and (or) leaving the section of roadway is determined.

THEORY

Considering the traffic flow as a conserved system, the change in the number of vehicles on a length of road dx, in an interval of time dt, must equal the difference between the number of vehicles entering the section at position x and the number of vehicles leaving the section at position x + dx (in time dt). If N is the number of vehicles initially on the length of roadway dx ($N = \rho w dx$), and N_x is

the number of vehicles entering in time dt at position x ($N_x = \rho w v dt$), the preceding statement can be expressed symbolically by

$$\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial t} dt\,dx = \rho w v\,dt - \left[\rho w v\,dt + \frac{\partial(\rho w v)}{\partial x} dx\,dt\right]$$

or

$$\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho w v)}{\partial x} = 0 \qquad \text{.....(4)}^{*}$$

Expanding Eq. (4) and dividing by ρw

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{\rho}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{w}\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{w}\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\rho}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad (5)$$

which, upon introduction of Eqs. (2a), (2b), (3a) and (3b), reduces to

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + (C_1 + C_2) v \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + (C_1 + C_2)\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \qquad \text{.....(6)}$$

Making use of Eq. (1), and noting that the quantity $\left[\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right]^2$ is of higher order,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} = a^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \qquad \text{.....(7)}$$

where, $a^2 = (C_1 + C_2)/k$ = constant. Equation (7) is the governing differential equation of the traffic flow process and provides the basis for a quantitative evaluation of salient features of a highway. As the variation in pressure potential with distance and time cannot be measured directly, it is necessary to obviate this requirement if

---

* Note: If N_E equals the net number of vehicles entering and leaving per unit length of roadway dx per unit time dt, Eq. 4 becomes

$$\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho w v)}{\partial t} = N_E$$

the theory is to be of practical utility. The method adopted to accomplish this end depends on the particular features selected for study, which can best be illustrated by examples.

APPLICATIONS

Consider a section of highway of length L containing some geometrical aspect to be evaluated quantitatively. This may be a grade or a curve (bridge, traffic control device, etc.) to be studied over a range of traffic volumes. To solve Eq. (7) a suitable set of boundary and initial conditions must be selected. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x,0) &= p_o \\ p(L,t) &= p_1 \\ p(0,t) &= p_o \end{aligned} \qquad \text{.....(8)}$$

For the conditions given by Eqs. (8), the complete solution of Eq. (7) is

$$p(x,t) = p_o + \frac{\Delta p}{L} x - \frac{2}{\pi} \Delta p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n} e^{-n^2 F_o} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{L} \qquad \text{...(9)}$$

where $\Delta p = p_1 - p_o$ and $F_c = \pi^2 t / a^2 L^2$.

Recalling that $v = -k\, \partial p / \partial x$, Eq. (9) becomes

$$\frac{-v(x,t)}{\frac{k\Delta p}{L}} = 1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-n^2 F_o} \cos \frac{n\pi x}{L} \qquad \text{.....(10)}$$

which, noting that $v_{avg} = -k\, \Delta p / L$, can be expressed as

$$\frac{v(x,t)}{v_{avg}} = 1 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} e^{-n^2 F_o} \cos \frac{n\pi x}{L} \qquad \text{.....(11)}$$

If the instantaneous velocity is measured at some point on the roadway, say at $x = L/2$, Eq. (11) gives the relation

$$\frac{v(\frac{L}{2},t)}{v_{avg}} = 1 - 2\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} e^{-4m^2 F_o} \qquad \text{.....(12)}$$

which is seen to be a function of F_o only. Eqs. (11) and (12) can be evaluated once and for all and either tabulated or represented graphically. For example, a plot of Eq. (12) is given in Fig. 1 with the parameter $F = [F_o]^{-1}$. The ratio of the instantaneous velocity at a particular point on a section of roadway to the average of the velocity over the entire section defines a unique F-value that is a numerical rating of the particular geometric aspect being studied. Both of these velocities can be measured directly with present-day devices [4].

By repeating the velocity measurements on the same section of roadway under different ambient conditions (such as minimum and peak traffic densities during the day or night, or different climatic conditions), the resulting changes in the F-value will provide a numerical rating of the particular geometric feature being studied as influenced by the changes in ambient conditions.

By making simultaneous measurements on two sections of the same road, each having a different geometrical feature (such as a grade vs. a curve), comparisons of the effects of these two (or any other) features on the resistance to traffic flow can be made on a quantitative basis. A rational answer can then be given to the time-honored question: is a G per cent grade more (or less) objectionable than a D degree curve? Repeating the simultaneous measurements at various times will permit

making such comparisons under different ambient conditions.

Similarly, velocity measurements before and after traffic control devices are installed, or the road is widened, or an overpass, interchange, acceleration and/or deceleration lane is constructed will permit evaluation of the effectiveness of these devices on a numerical basis. Indeed, the number of potential applications of the new theory appears to be unlimited.

In time, a catalog of F-values can be developed for all types of geometrical and psychological highway appurtenances which will permit future highways to be designed on a more rational basis.

REFERENCES

1. Helly, W., "Dynamics of Single Lane Vehicular Traffic Flow", Research Report No. 2, Center for Operations Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, (1959).

2. Gazis, D. C., Herman, R., and Potts, R. B., "Car-Following Theory of Steady-State Flow", Operations Research, 7, 499-507, 1959.

3. Lighthill, M. J., and Whitham, G. B., "On Kinematic Waves II. A Theory of Traffic Flow on Long Crowded Roads", Proceedings of the Royal Society, Series A 229, 1952.

4. Manual of Traffic Engineering Studies, Study No. 15, "Motor Vehicle Spot Speed", Association of Casualty and Surety Companies, N.Y., 1953.



Fig. 1. Solution of Eq. 12